

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/820,856	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CANNELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JYOTHSNA A VENKAT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) JYOTHSNA A VENKAT.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Maria T. Bautista.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 April 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103, 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and ODP over 09/821,480*

Claims discussed:

*all the claims pending*


Prior art documents discussed:

*U. S. Patent 5,688,930*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JYOTHSNA VENKAT  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 GROUP 1500/1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the attorney that after further review of the claims presented in response to the advisory action some of the claims are not allowable. The examiner informed the attorney that claims 24, 31-37 are not allowable over the patent '930 as the patent teaches pentoses substituted with alkyl chain either substituted at the CH<sub>2</sub> position or OH position as the pentoses when it is cyclic form teaches the alkyl substitution at the OH position and when it is in open form teaches CH<sub>2</sub> position and claims 41, 44 and 51 are also not allowable as the patent '930 teaches at col.18, lines 60-64 additional sugar which is cellulose derivatives belonging to the class polysaccharides and claims 27-28, 86-87 and 136-137 do not comply with 112,2nd paragraph as the dependent claim is broader in scope than the independent claim. The examiner also informed the attorney that claims 172-206 are obvious over the claims pending in the co-pending application 09/821480 as the instant claims are higher homologues when the monosaccharide is substituted with C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> chain and the utility is the same. The examiner also informed the attorney that claims 116 and 166 do not further limit scope of the claims from which they depend. The attorney authorized the examiner to cancel claims 24-29, 31-37, 41-52, 86-87, 116, 136-137, 166 and 172-206 and to amend the method of use claims by examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance . .